Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—It is done in the case of premier municipalities which have separate engineering establishments.

Clerical Establishment in the Revenue Offices in Chitaldrug.

Q.—29. Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA (Hassan Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how many clerks are there in the District Office, Chitaldrug, and Sub-Division Offices, Chitaldrug and Davangere;

(*b*) how many of them are Brahmins and how many of them are non-Brahmins;

(*c*) for how long are these people in the said offices; and from what date;

(*d*) were any of the clerks transferred from these offices during the last three years; if so, who were they;

(*e*) how many promotion vacancies have occurred in the above offices during the last two years and who are the persons that are promoted in those vacancies;

(*f*) is it a fact that a tree marker was dismissed during 1935-36 in Davangere Taluk by the Sub-Division Officer, and, if so, is it a fact, that he is reinstated; what is the name of this so dismissed and reinstated person; what is his pay?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*)—
District Office—
35 (2 are on leave and 1 post is vacant).
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
3.
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
3 (1 post is vacant).

(*b*)—
District Office—
Brahmins ... 22+1*
Non-Brahmins ... 10+1*
(*On leave.)
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
Brahmins ... 3
Non-Brahmins ... Nil
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Brahmin ... 1
Non-Brahmin ... 1

(*c*)—
District Office—
Over 5 years ... 14
Over 4 years and within 5 years 1
Over 3 years and within 4 years 2
Within 3 years ... 17
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug
Over 5 years ... 2
Over 4 years and within 5 years 1
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Over 5 years ... 1
Over one year and within 2 years 1

(*d*)—
District Office—
Yes. (*Vide* list attached.) (*Vide* Appendix.)
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
None.
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Yes.

(*e*)—
District Office—
2.
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
Nil.
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Nil.

1. Clerk's post in grade Rs. 25—1—30—1—40 consequent on the appointment of B. V. Anantharamaiya as acting Revenue Inspector; Mr. S. A. Shamannaiya, B.SC., B.T., Shroff in grade Rs. 25—1—35, was promoted to the grade Rs. 25—1—30—1—40.
2. Judicial Head Munshi's vacancy—
The following promotions were made:—
(i) Mr. E. Govindarajulu Naik, B.A., B.L., from Rs. 50—2—60 to grade Rs. 60—4—80.

(ii) Mr. P. K. Rama Rao, from Rs. 40—2—50 to grade Rs. 50—2—60.
(iii) C. Krishna Rao, from Rs. 40 to Rs. 40—2—50.
(iv) Mr. C. Seshagiri Rao, B.A., from Rs. 25—1—40 to Rs. 40.
(v) Mr. K. N. Alasingrachar, B.A., from Rs. 25—1—35 to grade Rs. 25—1—30—1—40.

(*f*)—

A tree marker on Rs. 13 was removed from service.

Yes.

D'Souza; Rs. 11; pay prior to reinstatement Rs. 13.

Mr. G. CHANNAPPA.—With reference to answer (*c*), are there not cases in which clerks with less than 5 years service in the same place have been transferred?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No.

Mr. G. CHANNAPPA.—Has it come to the notice of Government that Brahmin clerks have been given two promotions within a few months?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—No.

Mr. G. CHANNAPPA.—With reference to answer (*b*), why was the dismissed official reinstated?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—He had put in some service. It was felt that the punishment inflicted was a bit too severe. So his case was re-considered on grounds of mercy.

Mr. G. CHANNAPPA.—Why was he dismissed?

Mr. PRESIDENT.—It is departmental action.

Mr. B. MARULAPPA.—With reference to answer (*c*) stating that 14 officials were kept on in the District Office for over 5 years, would it be possible to say to what communities they belong?

Mr. B. T. KASAVAIENGAR.—No, details are not available.

Mr. B. MARULAPPA.—Is there not a Government Order that the officials should be transferred once in three years?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—There is no compulsion. Whenever Government think it necessary, they transfer them.

Mr. B. MARULAPPA.—Will Government consider the question of transferring the officials continuing in one and the same place for over 5 years?

Mr. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—It will be considered.

Conditions in Villages of Kadur District.

Q.—31. **Messrs. N. M. SIVALINGAPPIAH** (Kadur Taluk); **D. V. HALAPPA** (Birur Municipality).—

Will the Government be pleased to state the number of villages in the Kadur District

(i) that have no drinking water wells;
(ii) that have no roads;
(iii) that have no primary schools;
(iv) that have a population of over 500;
(v) that have a population of 1,000;
(vi) that have a population of over 1,000;
(vii) out of the number shown against (iv), (v) and (vi), how many have no drinking water wells, roads and schools?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT** (Mr. M. Venkatanaranappa).—

Number of villages in the Kadur District	959
Number of villages—	
(i) that have no drinking water wells	309
(ii) that have no roads	287
(iii) that have no primary schools ...	699
(iv) that have a population of over 500	156
(v) that have a population of 1,000 ...	Nil
(vi) that have a population of over 1,000	36
(vii) Number of villages having a population of over 500—	
(1) that have no wells ...	33
(2) that have no roads ...	18
(3) that have no schools ...	15
Number of villages that have a population of over 1,000—	
(1) that have no wells ...	4
(2) that have no roads ...	1
(3) that have no schools ...	1

Mr. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA.—Will the District Board be asked to provide the necessary amenities to those villages?

Mr. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—The question will be referred to the District Board.